

CITATION

(2012) 9 SCC 552 | Supreme Court of India | 2012

CASE TITLE

Bharat Aluminium (BALCO) v. Kaiser Aluminium

FACTS

Public law / private-law dispute commonly studied for consent to arbitrate and court support/interference. This education-desk note maps the dispute posture around Bharat Aluminium (BALCO) v. Kaiser Aluminium ((2012) 9 SCC 552). Readers should obtain the reportable judgment for operative paragraphs.

JUDGMENT / HOLDING

Classroom holding map for Bharat Aluminium (BALCO) v. Kaiser Aluminium: courts typically reason through jurisdiction, the precise prayer, and the governing articles/statutes before announcing relief. Treat this as an issue-spotting aid for consent to arbitrate and court support/interference, not a substitute for the certified text.

TAKEAWAY

For desk readers: name the court (Supreme Court), the year (2012), the natures (arbitration), and separate alleged facts from the holding. Confirm the operative reportable judgment before relying on any classroom summary.

Educational desk note ? Advocate Adesh Kumar ? advadeshkumar.com
Not legal advice. Not an official court certified copy.