

CITATION

Desk landmark syllabus 1996 | Supreme Court of India | 1996

CASE TITLE

Federalism and Article 356 caution map (1996)

FACTS

Public law / private-law dispute commonly studied for contractual freedom, consideration, and public policy limits. This education-desk note maps the dispute posture around Federalism and Article 356 caution map (1996) (Desk landmark syllabus 1996). Readers should obtain the reportable judgment for operative paragraphs.

JUDGMENT / HOLDING

Classroom holding map for Federalism and Article 356 caution map (1996): courts typically reason through jurisdiction, the precise prayer, and the governing articles/statutes before announcing relief. Treat this as an issue-spotting aid for contractual freedom, consideration, and public policy limits, not a substitute for the certified text.

TAKEAWAY

For desk readers: name the court (Supreme Court), the year (1996), the natures (contract, constitutional), and separate alleged facts from the holding. Confirm the operative reportable judgment before relying on any classroom summary.

Educational desk note ? Advocate Adesh Kumar ? advadeshkumar.com
Not legal advice. Not an official court certified copy.